

RTI Application Form

FORM 'A'

See Rule 3(1)

I.D.

No.....

(For Office Use Only)

To

Mr. Varinder Malik

Assistant General Manager (L) and CPIO (Head Office)

Industrial Finance Corporation of India Ltd (IFCI Ltd)

IFCI Tower 61 Nehru Place PIN: 110 019

Tel : 011-4173 2000 Email: varinder.malik@ifciltde.com

1.Full Name of The Applicant :

2.Father Name :

3.Permanent Address :

4. Correspondence Address :

1

5.Particulars of the Information Solicited

a) Subject Matter of Information (*) : Press Note dated 25th February 2021 issued by
Ministry of Chemical and Fertilizers,
Department of Pharmaceuticals for approval
accorded under Production Linked
Incentives (PLI) Scheme for promotion of
Domestic Manufacturing of critical key
starting materials (KSMs)/Drug Intermediates
and Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs) in the
country.(Copy Annexed).

b) The period to which information relates:30th November 2020 till 10thMay,2021

c) Specific Details of Information required:

1. What are the parameters followed for appraisal of the Applicants under the PLI Scheme?
2. What are the Evaluation Criteria and the methodology adopted for selection of candidates under the PLI Scheme?

3. Who studied the evaluation criteria for giving marks to the candidates/applicants?
4. What was the justification for restricting selection to a maximum number of only 2 applicants?
5. All eligible applicants were to be ranked on the basis of marks obtained in the evaluation criteria as given in **Appendix F**. Please furnish the marks obtained by each applicant as per evaluation criteria given in Appendix F.
6. The objective of the Scheme is to attain self-reliance and reduce import dependence in critical KSMs/DIsIAPs. In light of the above objective, what is the basis and justification for selection of Only One Applicant for 22 products, like 7 ACA, Aspirin, Ciprofloxacin, Rifampicin, Atorvastatin, when more than one applicant fulfilled the eligibility criteria?
7. What were the Selling price provided by the selected companies and the other applicants for the products?
8. (a) Has the Government ensured that the total capacity approved for each product matches the current imports of the same product? (b) If the approved quantity for a product under this scheme does not match the imports, then why was approval and selection of candidates not broad-based? (c) Why were other eligible parties rejected?

9. (a) Do the quantities of the product approved by the IFCI match the total quantity of imports of the product from China? (b) If not, then, how will the objective of the Government to become Atmanirbhar Bharat be achieved?
10. For how many products, no application was received?
11. Was the viability of the selling price given by various parties for particular products kept in mind to ensure that the parties did not quote unviable prices to block competition?
12. What is the guarantee procured from the selected parties that they would adhere to their respective proposals, post starting the production, and that they will sell at sale price as indicated in the application for the committed quantity of production?
13. (a) How would it be ensured that the selected parties will sell the product at the committed price in the open market? (b) What percentage of production will be sold to the open market?
14. DOP /GOI appointed IFCI as an operating agency to review all the application received for PLI because IFCI has an experience of more than 70 years in project management, evaluation and appraisal. Did IFCI study the Govt. policy of PLI in terms of critical analysis to determine chances of misuse and the likely monopolistic situation that may be created?

15. What type of credibility study was done by IFCI or by the Technical Committee or the Empowered Committee while selecting or rejecting an application?
16. Did IFCI check the authenticity of claims and statements given by applicants?
17. In case of products where only one applicant was found eligible, was it not a deficiency in the eligibility criterion as the consequence would be counter-productive to healthy competition and consumer/public interest?
18. Was possible misuse of PLI Policy considered by DOP or any GOI agency involved in framing the PLI Policy?
- . Govt. has again invited applications for few slots left over, which includes both products for which no applications were received earlier and also where applications were received and successful applicants have been announced. What are the reasons for inviting the applications for products in which case, which successful applicants had been announced?
20. After having realized that objective of the policy will not be served by allocating molecule to only one company even if more have applied, did the DOP / Ministry take any steps to bring about a solution?

d) Whether information is required by Post or in person (the actual postal fees shall be included in additional fee in providing the information) : By Post

e) In case by Post (ordinary/registered or speed post) : By Registered/Speed Post

6. Is this information not made available by
public authority under voluntary disclosure? : Yes

7. Do you agree to pay the required fees? : Yes

8. Have you deposited application fee? : Yes
(If Yes, Details of such deposit) : ____ Court Fees/Stamps

9. Whether belongs to below Poverty Line category? : No
(If yes, did you furnish the proof of the same with
application?)

Place: NOIDA

Date: 14/05/2021